DESIGN OF QUAY WALLS

Design Rules – Design methodology (in general)

Main features of design approach considers mutual relationships of various subjects in the design process.



Ultimate objective of design process is to produce the optimum design that satisfies func. requirements as result of balancing various sometimes conflicting aspects like: construction cost, durability, robustness

General scheme for structured design approach:



In the analysis phase, the project is analyzed on:

- Functions (Functie analyse)
- Operational aspects (Proces analyse)
- Requirements and boundary conditions
- Starting-points or Assumptions

The analysis phase results in a: List of Requirements or Specifications or Basis of Design or Terms of Reference (ToR) in tender documents

Functional design aspects for a Quay wall

Most important functional requirements that a quay must satisfy, are defined in consultation with the client, terminal operator and manager of the quay facilities. These requirements are set down in terms of reference TOR.

In a design process progressed in phases like

- Feasibility studies
- Preliminary design
- Final design

the terms of ref. are defined with increasing accuracy

Development of <u>schematic functional design</u> is necessary to have an idea of the effects of the various funct. req's on the design

To avoid impossible or conflicting situations one should coordinate and harmonize the req's with different authorities in relation to:

- Arrangement and layout of the superstructure
- Basis of design for the front quay
- Determination of water depth
- Possible combination of loads
- Clearness of internal interactions of forces due to external loads



Functional Terms of Reference (FTOR)



Fig. 5.2 Functional design of the quay.

- Retaining function: quay wall must be able to safely retain both soil and water
- Bearing function: able to bear loads of cranes, vehicles and stored goods freight cargo
- Protective function: ships must be able to berth the quay
- Navigation function: transshipping of the cargo

Criteria to make the functional requirements measurable are:

- Construction depth
- Top level quay
- Storage area
- Transshipment of cargo
- Contract depth
- Berthing fac.s
- Bottom protection



Summary Functional Terms of Reference

1. Introduction

This gives a brief description of the project.

2. Boundary conditions

- Description of the existing situation
- Environmental conditions such as water levels and wind
- Existing operational situation

3. Requirements

- 3.1 Navigation requirements
- Types of ship characteristic parameters including length, beam and draught
- Number and length of berths

3.2 Bearing requirements

- Width of transshipment area
- Number and types of cranes + characteristic parameters
- Dimensions of the stack area
- Sort and volume of freight to be handled and stacking method

3.3 Retaining requirements

- Top level of quay
- Seabed level

3.4 Protective requirements

- Berthing/mooring facilities (fenders, bollards)
- Bottom protection

Technical terms of reference (TTOR)

T.T.O.R. describes the technical aspects that the structure must satisfy in detail resulting in <u>a transition</u> <u>of functional content to technical content.</u>

The owner gives the FR and the designer works out to create a TTOR

After approval by the owner and terminal operator the TTOR forms the basis of design. The project is now less abstract and the TReq can be set down in detail. The degree of detail depends on the phase the design (project) has reached Preliminary design or Final design

First agreement should be reached about the FReg and later about TReq

• Boundary conditions such as:

Environmental conditions,

- Topographical conditions (arrangement of natural and artificial physical features of the port area)
- Hydrographic, Hydraulic conditions (water levels, torrent, waves)
- Geotechnical conditions (soil properties)
- Meteorological conditions (annual precipitation/rainfall, snow)
- Disturbance in the subsoil (weak strata, polution)

Presence of cables and pipelines

Existing operational situation

REQUIREMENTS

• Navigation, function (Nautical length, . Dimensions of quay wall)



Fig. 5.4 Mooring configuration for two ships.

• Retaining function (gravity quay wall type)



Bearing function
 Data of freight
 Data of cranes and vehicles



- type, rail gage
- self weight, max.wheel loads, max wheel distance
- lifting capacity on waterside /on landside,





Detail: Bogie of portainer crane



- Crane track facilities (details of crane track, Criteria for use)

• Protective function

Mooring facilities: fenders bollards)



Fig. 5.5 Mooring configuration.

Harbour bed Harbour bed protection Shore protection



Fig. 5.7 Factors influencing contract and construction depth.

Maintenance requirements and management aspects

• Diverse

Public utilities, lighting, drainage, signage

- Safety aspects/reporting and permits
- References

Procedures, guidelines, standards, legal aspects

Annexes A
 Drawings, load diagrams

Summary Technical terms of reference

1. Introduction

This gives a brief description of the requirements. The objective of the project, the organisation, planning, and possible phasing and functional requirements are described.

2. Boundary conditions

- 2.1. Description of existing situation
- 2.2. Environmental conditions, such as
 - Topographical conditions
 - Hydrographic conditions
 - Geotechnical conditions
 - Hydraulic conditions
 - Meteorological conditions
 - Disturbance in the subsoil
- 2.3. The presence of cables and pipelines
- 2.4. Existing operational situation

3. Navigation function .

- 3.1. Nautical basis
 - Usable length of berths (nautical length)
 - Type of vessel
 - Details of main propellers
 - Details of bow thrusters
- 3.2. Dimensions of quay wall

4. Retaining function

4.1. Structure of the quay wall

5. Bearing function

- 5.1. Data of freight (sort and volume, stacking method)
- 5.2. Data of cranes and vehicles
- 5.3. Crane track facilities
 - Details of crane track
 - Criteria for use

6. Protective function

- 6.1. Mooring facilities
- 6.2. Harbour bed
- 6.3. Harbour bed protection
- 6.4. Shore protection
- 6.5. Maintenance requirements and management aspects
- 7. Diverse

Public utilities, lighting, drainage, signage

8. Safety aspects/reporting and permits

9. References

9.1. Procedures, guidelines, standards, legal aspects

10. Annexes A

Drawings, load diagrams

Structural design of a quay wall

Alternatives to be selected



Failure mechanisms and load situations for a caisson

Failure Mechanisms	Load situations			
 static stability during (floating) transport during immersion dynamic stability shear criterion caisson-subsoil turn-over criterion vertical bearing capacity scour strength of the concrete structure 	 building pit phase floating phase: during transport during immersion founded phase: immediately after immersion final phase (use phase) removal phase 			

Determination of the main dimensions



Step 1: Height subject to specification:

- BC's (geotechnical, hydraulic, meteorologic)
- FR's (retaining, protection

Step 2: Width:

Structural strength and stiffness in life cycle stages and floating condition during transport

Step 3: Length:

Practical construction rules (two times the caisson height/ width ratio 2:1, length/width ratio of 3:1), manoeuvrability of the caisson, number of joints or shear-keys Load transfer considerations







LOAD TRANSFER SIDE WALLS

Design checks

Shear criterion caisson-subsoil: $\Sigma H < f \Sigma V$



Slide-off principle sketch

Turn-over criterion





Action line of the resulting force should intersect the core of the structure

Vertical bearing capacity

$$\sigma_{k,\max} = \frac{F}{A} + \frac{M}{W} = \frac{\sum V}{W \cdot L} + \frac{\sum M}{\frac{1}{6}LW^2}$$

where: ΣV = total of the acting vertical forces (or vertical components) [N]

 \rightarrow

A = area of the bottom plate [m2]

W = width of the structural element [m]

L = length of the structural element [m]

 ΣM = total of the moments, preferably around point K, halfway the width [kNm]

 $\sigma_{k,max} < p_{max}$

Bearing capacity of the soil

Actual situation

To be calculated with Brinch Hansen





$$F_{\max} = p'_{\max} \cdot A$$

$$p'_{\max} = c' N_c s_c i_c + q' N_q s_q i_q + 0,5 \gamma' B \cdot N_\gamma s_\gamma i_\gamma$$

The bearing capacity factors are:

٨

$$I_{\rm c} = (N_{\rm q} - 1)\cot\phi' \qquad N_{\rm q} = \frac{1 + \sin\phi'}{1 - \sin\phi'}e^{\pi \tan\phi'} \qquad N_{\gamma} = 2(N_{\rm q} - 1)\tan\phi'$$

The shape factors (
$$B \le L \le \infty$$
) are:
 $s_c = 1 + 0.2 \frac{B}{L}$
 $s_q = 1 + \frac{B}{L} \sin \phi'$
 $s_{\gamma} = 1 - 0.3 \frac{B}{L}$

The <u>inclination factors</u> to deal with an eventual inclined direction of the resulting force ($B \le L \le \infty$) are: For drained soil:

For H parallel to B :

$$i_{\rm c} = \frac{i_{\rm q}N_{\rm q}-1}{N_{\rm q}-1} \qquad i_{\rm q} = \left(1 - \frac{0.70H}{F + Ac'\cot\phi'}\right)^3 \quad i_{\rm r} = \left(1 - \frac{H}{F + Ac'\cot\phi'}\right)^3$$

The reduction of the vertical bearing force *F* as a result of the horizontal load *H* can be considerable !

Design checks (concrete strength)

Ultimate limit state (ULS)

First Bending moments then Shear forces

Mind different phases:

- Phase I: Caisson in building dock (construction phase) Not governing
- Phase II: Floating caisson during transport (construction phase) Governing for concrete analysis

At first check dimensions with respect to buoyance (draft) and static stability

<u>Static stability</u> means that a rotation, caused by external factors, is corrected by a righting moment that will return the element to its original position



- B is the <u>centre of buoyancy</u>, here B is also the centre of gravity of the displaced water.
- G is the <u>centre of gravity</u> of the element (also rotation point.)
- M is the <u>meta centre</u>; the point of intersection the z-axis and the action line of the buoyant force in tilted position.

For static stability GM, (metacentric height hm) must be positive.

$$\overline{BM} = \frac{a}{\varphi} = \frac{l}{V}$$
$$a = \frac{M}{F_{b}} = \frac{\varphi \rho g l}{\rho g V} = \frac{\varphi l}{V}$$

I (actually **l**yy) is the area moment of inertia, relative to the y-axis, of the plane intersected by the waterline



- Phase III: Floating caisson (construction phase) Dynamic stability is not be checked
- Phase IV: Caisson (operational phase)

Caisson is fully filled with sand, embedded with water pressure at the front side and soil pressure at the back side

Not governing for structural analysis

Phase IIa: Floating caisson - strength check

The floating phase often is governing for the stresses in the concrete side-walls. This is caused by the combination of high water pressure outside, while there is no pressure working from inside in the empty caisson.



Symplified calculation of internal forces in wall sections (1- dimensional approach)



With help of the maximum moment and Table 8.IV (next page) the required wall thickness can be estimated. To do so, an economic reinforcement percentage has to be chosen. Assume a value of 1% For this percentage, Table 7 gives a value for

$$\frac{M_d \bot}{b \cdot t^2 \cdot f'_b} = 150$$

So thickness is :

$$t_b = \sqrt{\frac{M_d}{b \cdot 150 \cdot f_b'}} = \sqrt{\frac{491}{1.0 \cdot 150 \cdot 27}} = 0.35 \text{ m} < 1.00 \text{ m}$$

Determination of reinforcement in concrete walls with tables

$\frac{M_d}{bd^3 f_b}$	¥	$\frac{x_0}{d}$	$\frac{\pi_0}{d}$	u ₀ (%)					
				B25	835	845	855	865	
10	0,010	0,013	0,99	0,00	0.08	0.00	0,00	0,03	
20	0.022	0,017	0,00	0,02	0,10	0,10	0,78	0,14	
30	0,080	0,240	0,00	0,70	0,15	0,7.0	0,29	0,27	
40	0,041	0,018	0,50	0,04	0,20	0,28	0,31	0,22	
80	0,051	0,058	0,97	0,18	0,28	0,32	0,39	0,48	
60	0,062	0,080	0,97	0,23	0,30	0,39	0,47	0,56	
70	0,071	9,007	0,94	0,28	0,29	0,48	0,55	0,66	
80	0,064	0,112	0.04	0,20	0,47	0,52	0.04	0,75	
90	0,005	0,127	0,55	0,33	0,40	0,50	0,72	0,85	
100	0,108	0,141	0,84	0,37	0,81	0,00	0,81	0,95	
110	0,117	0,106	0,24	0,40	0,66	0,73	0,00	1,05	
120	0,129	0,172	0,90	0,44	0,62	0,60	0,95	3,18	
130	0,140	0,187	0,90	0,45	0,68	0,07	1,06	3,25	
140	9,359	0,253	0,93	0,82	0,73	0,94	1,15	3,26	
100	0,164	9,219	0,01	0.57	0,79	1,00	3,24	3,47	
160	0,178	0,235	0,91	0.61	0,65	1,09	1,34	1,68	
170	0.188	0,251	0,90	0.65	0.81	1.12	1.45	1,05	
180	0,201	0,260	0,00	0.89	0,67	1,25	1.55	1,81	
190	0,214	0,285	0.23	0.74	1,03	1,33	1,82	1,92	
	1000 CO.C C. C.			1000					

Tabel 8.IV Wapeningspercentages voor rechthoekige betondoorsneden, gewapend met staal FeB 500 HWL

Bending moment and (prestressing) normal forces

The limit state involving bending and normal force is as follows:

$$M_d = M_u$$
 en $N_d = N_u$

in which:

- M_d = design value of the maximum occurring bending moment
- M_u = maximum allowable bending moment
- N_d = design value of the normal force
- Nu = maximum tolerable normal force



$$\begin{split} M_{\mathrm{u}} &= (N_{\mathrm{pd}}' + N_{\mathrm{d}}')(z_{\mathrm{b}} - y) + \sum N_{\mathrm{s}}(d_{\mathrm{s}} - y) + \sum \Delta N_{\mathrm{p}}(d_{\mathrm{p}} - y) \\ N_{u} &= N_{b}^{\cdot} + N_{s}^{\cdot} - N_{pd}^{\cdot} - \Delta N_{p} - N_{s} \end{split}$$

Plate bending moments m_x and m_y in kNm in a fixed supported slab along 4 edges with hydrostatic load (constant thickness)



 $m_{xerm}\ m_{yerm}$ Fixed moments in the centre point on the edge

m_{xm} **m**_{ym} Span moments in the centre point of the plate

	$l_{\hat{y}}: l_x$	1,0	1,1	1,2	1,3	1,4	1,5	2,0
m _{zermin}	=-)	36,9	33,1	29,8	27,5	25,6	23,9	20,2
m _{zm}		113,6	91,7	78,7	69,9	63,7	59,2	50,0
^m yerm (y=0)	$= - \left\{ p_1 \cdot l_x^2 \right\}$	30,0	27,5	26,1	25,0	24,1	23,8	21,9
myerm (y=l_)	·=	56,2	55,5	57,2	59,5	62,9	67,1	92,5
mymax	-)	98,0	98,0	98,0	99,0	99,0	100,0	100,0
[¶] xermax (x=0 u. l_)	= ±) · ·	4,14	3,86	3,59	3,39	3,25	3,13	2,83
Iverm (v=0)	$=$ $\sum_{n=1}^{l} n_{n-1}$	3,07	2,90	2,80	2,74	2,70	2,64	2,49
lyerm (y=ly)	$=-\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}$	8,25	8,40	8,77	9,40	9,99	10,70	14,29
m	$= \frac{p_1 \cdot l_x^4}{E \cdot d^3}$.	0,0076	0,0091	0,0104	0,0115	0,0124	0,0132	0,015



Moment diagrams for $l_y / l_x = 1,5$

Shear force diagrams for $I_y / I_x = 1,5$



Fig.3 Existing situation with soil profile and "To Be" situation including loading conditions



Bearing capacity depends on width of footing

